IJN Iranian Journal of Neonatology



# OpenOriginal ArticleGlomerular Filtration Rate Estimation Based on CystatinC Formulas among Neonates

Nasrin Khalesi<sup>1</sup>, Farnoosh Seirafianpour<sup>2</sup>, Rozita Hoseini<sup>1\*</sup>, Hasan Otukesh<sup>1</sup>, Nahid Rahimzadeh<sup>1</sup>, Shahrbanoo Nakhaie<sup>1</sup>, Golnar Gohardehi<sup>1</sup>, Parisa Mohaghegh<sup>1</sup>

1. Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran 2. Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the best indicator to assess renal function; however, it is difficult to perform it, especially in neonates. Serum creatinine is the most commonly used marker of GFR; nevertheless, it has some limitations since it can be affected by factors other than renal function. Cystatin C, another endogenous marker used to estimate GFR, is not affected by non-renal factors. The results of some studies suggest that serum cystatin C levels are more accurate tests of kidney function than serum creatinine levels. This study aimed to estimate GFR with cystatin C-based formulas among neonates and determine the correlations between these methods and the Schwartz formula.

*Methods:* The population of this research consisted of 99 neonates whose serum creatinine and cystatin C levels were measured concurrently. Moreover, the glomerular filtration rate was estimated using the Schwartz formula and 14 cystatin C-based formulas separately.

**Results:** Based on the findings, all GFR values based on cystatin C formulas correlated significantly with each other (P<0.05); however, with one exception, none of these values correlated with Schwartz GFR (P>0.05). The only cystatin C formula that yielded values correlating with the Schwartz formula was CysCrEq, which used serum cystatin C and creatinine concomitantly.

*Conclusion:* It can be concluded that since all GFR values based on cystatin C correlated significantly and cystatin Cwasindependent of non-renal factors, cystatin C reflected the real GFR more accurately than serum creatinine. Nonetheless, further studies with gold standard techniques are required to verify the usefulness of cystatin C-based formulas.

Keywords: Creatinine, Glomerular filtration rate, Neonates, Schwartz formula

#### Introduction

Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is measured by various methods (1). Although inulin clearance is the gold standard assay for GFR measurement in both mature and immature kidneys (2, 3), it is time-consuming, expensive, and cumbersome (2). Nuclear medicine scans are also considered accurate methods for GFR measurement; however, most clinicians do not recommend these scans in neonates (2). Serum creatinine is the most common renal marker for GFR estimation; nevertheless, serum creatinine in neonates reflects the maternal serum creatinine level rather than neonatal values in the first week after birth (1, 2, 4). In addition, serum creatinine level can be affected by factors other than renal function, such as birth weight, muscle mass, and renal tubule maturity, as well as the laboratory method of creatinine measurement (1, 5-7). Therefore, it is recommended to use more stable markers for GFR in neonates that are not affected by non-renal factors and do not pass through the placenta (8). Cystatin C is a potentially suitable factor that has been reported as a suitable marker for GFR estimation in children and adults (4, 9-13).

Please cite this paper as:

Khalesi N, Seirafianpour F, Hoseini R, Otukesh H, Rahimzadeh N, Nakhaie S, Gohardehi G, Mohaghegh P. Glomerular Filtration Rate Estimation Based on Cystatin C Formulas among Neonates. Iranian Journal of Neonatology. 2021 Apr: 12(2). DOI: 10.22038/ijn.2021.43684.1727

<sup>\*</sup> *Corresponding author*: Rozita Hoseini, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +989121327354; Email: rozitahoseini@gmail.com

Accordingly, the present study was designed to measure serum cystatin C levels among neonates and compare the GFR estimated with cystatin C-based formulas and the Schwartz formula.

## Methods

The serum samples for this analytical crosssectional study were obtained from 99 hospitalized neonates admitted to the neonatal department at Ali-AsgharChildren'sHospital in Tehran, Iran, from March-June 2018. The sample size was estimated using previous articles and a convenience sampling method was used to select the samples. The exclusion criteria were any structural abnormalities or neonatal syndromes. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of all neonates. Serum creatinine and cystatin C levels were measured in these neonates concurrently. The Jaffe reaction method was applied to measure the serum creatinine. To estimate GFR, the Schwartz formula (GFR=k×height/serum creatinine) was used, with a value of 0.41 and 14 different cystatin C-based formulas (Table 1).

The collected data were analyzed in SPSS software (version 13.0) using mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for descriptive statistics and Spearman's rank correlation for the evaluation of the relationships between quantitative variables. All p-values were two-tailed, and P<0.05 was considered significant.

Table 1. Glomerular filtration rate formulas based on serum cystatin C level GFR = 91.62 × (1/CysC)1.123 Filler et al. (4) Le Bricon et al. (24)  $GFR = [78 \times (1/Cvstatin C)] + 4$ Hoek et al. (25) GFR = 4.32 + (80.35 × 1/Cystatin C) Larsson et al. (26) GFR = 77.24 × Cystatin C-1.2623 Rule et al. (27)  $GFR = 76.6 \times Cystatin C-1.16$ GFR = 137/cys C - 20.4 Bokenkamp et al. (1) Larsson et al.2 (26) GFR = 99.43 × cys C-1.2623 Grubb et al. (28) GFR = 84.69× cys C-1.680×1.384  $GFR = 63.2 \times ((cr/96) - 0.35)((cvsC/1.2) - 0.56)((BW/45) \times 0.30)((age/14) \times 0.40)$ Bouvet et al. (29) Zappitelli (New Bokenkamp) (30) GFR =78.77/CysC +1.84 Zappitelli (New Filler) (30) GFR = 79.04 × (1/CvsC)1.156 GFR = 79.2 × (1/CysC)1.157 × 1.002 for age of < 14 years Zappitelli (New Grubb) (30) Zappitelli (CysEq) (11, 30) GFR =75.94/[CysC1.17] Zappitelli (CysCrEq) (30) GFR = (43.82 × e0.003 × height)/(CysC0.635 ×SCr0.547 [mg/dL])

# Results

In this research, 47 (47.47%) out of 99 neonates were female. The mean scores of age, birth weight, and height of all cases were estimated at  $3.7\pm5.72$  days,  $2.569\pm704.30$  g, and  $46.9\pm4.05$  cm, respectively. It was reported that 8(08.08%), 33 (33.33%), 58 (58.58%) of the subjects had respectively a bodyweight of < 1,500 g, 1,500-2,500 g, and >2,500 g. Based on the results, the mean scores of serum creatinine and serum cystatin C concentrations were obtained at 0.56 mg/dl (0.20-1.56 mg/dl) and 2.11 mg/l (0.75-22.00 mg/l) respectively. The information regarding the mean serum creatinine and cystatin C levels according to birth weight is summarized in Table 2.

Glomerular filtration rate was estimated separately using the Schwartz formula and 14

**Table 2.** Reference ranges of cystatin C levels in preterm and term neonates

| /lean serum |
|-------------|
| cystatin    |
| 1.68±0.32   |
| 1.9±0.72    |
| 2.27±2.7    |
|             |

cystatin C-based formulas. Table 3 tabulates mean GFR and the ranges estimated with these equations. The correlation of GFR estimated was determined with the Schwartz formula and the cystatin C-based formulas. The correlation of GFR estimated with the Bouvet equation and other cystatin C formulas was significant; however, it

 Table 3. Mean and range of serum creatinine, cystatin C, and glomerular filtration rate based on Schwartz and cystatin C-based formulas

| Creatinine0.201.570.56370.26168Cystatin C0.7522.002.11082.15701Filler2.85126.5651.8723.7Larsson1.56111.0641.299621.39761Larsson20.74156.8147.096931.39523Bouvet1.13734.7345.386.23270Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469CysCrEq11.7697.5752.488417.16833 |               | Minimum | Maximum | Mean    | Standard<br>deviation |
|--|---------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| Filler2.85126.5651.8723.7Larsson1.56111.0641.299621.39761Larsson20.74156.8147.096931.39523Bouvet1.13734.7345.386.23270Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Creatinine    | 0.20    | 1.57    | 0.5637  | 0.26168               |
| Larsson1.56111.0641.299621.39761Larsson20.74156.8147.096931.39523Bouvet1.13734.7345.386.23270Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469   | Cystatin C    | 0.75    | 22.00   | 2.1108  | 2.15701               |
| Larsson20.74156.8147.096931.39523Bouvet1.13734.7345.386.23270Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469   | Filler        | 2.85    | 126.56  | 51.87   | 23.7                  |
| Bouvet1.13734.7345.386.23270Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Larsson       | 1.56    | 111.06  | 41.2996 | 21.39761              |
| Grubb0.65190.0553.695838.28807Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Larsson2      | 0.74    | 156.81  | 47.0969 | 31.39523              |
| Rule2.12106.9442.702420.19750Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Bouvet        | 1.13    | 734.73  | 45.3    | 86.23270              |
| Hoek7.97111.4552.280119.39648Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469   | Grubb         | 0.65    | 190.05  | 53.6958 | 38.28807              |
| Le Bricon7.55108.0050.557418.82919Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Rule          | 2.12    | 106.94  | 42.7024 | 20.19750              |
| Bokenkamp-14.17162.2761.374033.07178New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Hoek          | 7.97    | 111.45  | 52.2801 | 19.39648              |
| New Filler2.22110.2244.136020.79859New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469  | Le Bricon     | 7.55    | 108.00  | 50.5574 | 18.82919              |
| New Bokencamp5.42106.8748.857119.01506New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469   | Bokenkamp     | -14.17  | 162.27  | 61.3740 | 33.07178              |
| New Grubb2.22110.7044.295420.89300CysEq2.04106.3342.159420.12469   | New Filler    | 2.22    | 110.22  | 44.1360 | 20.79859              |
| CysEq 2.04 106.33 42.1594 20.12469   | New Bokencamp | 5.42    | 106.87  | 48.8571 | 19.01506              |
|  | New Grubb     | 2.22    | 110.70  | 44.2954 | 20.89300              |
| CysCrEq 11.76 97.57 52.4884 17.16833   | CysEq         | 2.04    | 106.33  | 42.1594 | 20.12469              |
|  | CysCrEq       | 11.76   | 97.57   | 52.4884 | 17.16833              |

|                  |                                | Schwartz        | Bokencamp        | Filler         | Larsson                                      | Larsson2                                     | Grubb          | Bouvet          | LeBricon                                     | Hoek   | Rule   | New<br>Filler                                | New<br>Bokencamp | CysEq  | New<br>Grubb   | CysCrEq        |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--|--|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|----------------|----------------|
| Schwartz         | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 1               | 0.057<br>0.582   | 0.050<br>0.600 | 0.042<br>0.680                               | 0.026<br>0.801                               | 0.021<br>0.836 | -0.130<br>0.204 | 0.057<br>0.582                               | 0.057<br>0.582                               | 0.048<br>0.641                               | 0.048<br>0.640                               | 0.057<br>0.582   | 0.047<br>0.645                               | 0.048<br>0.640 | 0.620<br>0.000 |
| Bokenkamp        | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.057<br>0.582  | 1                | 0.990<br>0.000 | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000 | 0.276<br>0.006  | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $1.000 \\ 0.000$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000 | 0.794<br>0.000 |
| Filler           | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.090<br>0.382  | 0.904<br>0.000   | 1              | 0.872<br>0.000                               | 0.835<br>0.000                               | 0.824<br>0.000 | 0.315<br>0.002  | 0.904<br>0.000                               | 0.904<br>0.000                               | 0.884<br>0.000                               | 0.885<br>0.000                               | 0.904<br>0.000   | 0.883<br>0.000                               | 0.884<br>0.000 | 0.793<br>0.000 |
| Larsson          | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.042<br>0.680  | 0.997<br>0.000   | 0.990<br>0.000 | 1  | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.995<br>0.000 | 0.264<br>0.009  | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.997<br>0.000                               | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1.000<br>0.000                               | 0.997<br>0.000   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.774<br>0.000 |
| Larsson2         | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.026<br>0.801  | 0.988<br>0.000   | 0.990<br>0.000 | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 1  | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.250<br>0.013  | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.994<br>0.000                               | 0.994<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000   | 0.995<br>0.000                               | 0.994<br>0.000 | 0.749<br>0.000 |
| Grubb            | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.021<br>0.836  | 0.985<br>0.000   | 0.990<br>0.000 | 0.995<br>0.000                               | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1              | 0.247<br>0.014  | 0.985<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000   | 0.992<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000 | 0.742<br>0.000 |
| Bouvet           | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | -0.130<br>0.204 | 0.276<br>0.006   | 0.270<br>0.007 | 0.264<br>0.009                               | 0.250<br>0.013                               | 0.247<br>0.014 | 1               | 0.276<br>0.006                               | 0.276<br>0.006                               | 0.268<br>0.008                               | 0.268<br>0.008                               | 0.276<br>0.006   | 0.268<br>0.008                               | 0.268<br>0.008 | 0.182<br>0.075 |
| Le Bricon        | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.057<br>0.582  | 1.000<br>0.000   | 0.990<br>0.000 | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000 | 0.276<br>0.006  | 1  | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $1.000 \\ 0.000$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000 | 0.794<br>0.000 |
| Hoek             | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.057<br>0.582  | 1.000<br>0.000   | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000 | 0.276<br>0.006  | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1  | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $1.000 \\ 0.000$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000 | 0.794<br>0.000 |
| Rule             | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.048<br>0.641  | 0.999<br>0.000   | 0.884<br>0.000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.994<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000 | 0.268<br>0.008  | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 1  | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.999<br>0.000   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.782<br>0.000 |
| New Filler       | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.048<br>0.640  | 0.999<br>0.000   | 0.885<br>0.000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.994<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000 | 0.268<br>0.008  | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1  | 0.999<br>0.000   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.782<br>0.000 |
| New<br>Bokencamp | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.057<br>0.582  | $1.000 \\ 0.000$ | 0.904<br>0.000 | 0.997<br>0.000                               | 0.988<br>0.000                               | 0.985<br>0.000 | 0.276<br>0.006  | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 1                | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000 | 0.794<br>0.000 |
| CysEq            | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.047<br>0.645  | 0.999<br>0.000   | 0.883<br>0.000 | $1.000 \\ 0.000$                             | 0.995<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000 | 0.268<br>0.008  | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 0.999<br>0.000   | 1  | 1.000<br>0.000 | 0.781<br>0.000 |
| New Grubb        | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.048<br>0.640  | 0.999<br>0.000   | 0.884<br>0.000 | $1.000 \\ 0.000$                             | 0.994<br>0.000                               | 0.992<br>0.000 | 0.268<br>0.008  | 0.999<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000                               | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1.000<br>0.000                               | 0.999<br>0.000   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.000\\ 0.000 \end{array}$ | 1              | 0.782<br>0.000 |
| CysCrEq          | Pearson correlation<br>P-value | 0.620<br>0.000  | 0.794<br>0.000   | 0.793<br>0.000 | 0.774<br>0.000                               | 0.749<br>0.000                               | 0.742<br>0.000 | 0.182<br>0.075  | 0.794<br>0.000                               | 0.794<br>0.000                               | 0.782<br>0.000                               | 0.782<br>0.000                               | 0.794<br>0.000   | 0.781<br>0.000                               | 0.782<br>0.000 | 1              |

was not strong (r<0.5). It was revealed theGFR estimates had strong correlations with other cystatin C formulas (r>0.7). Among GFR values from cystatin C-based formulas, none of them, except one, correlated with the Schwartz GFR (P>0.05). The only cystatin C formula to yield a value that correlated with GFR obtained with the Schwartz formula was CysCrEq, which uses serum cystatin C and creatinine concomitantly. Table 4 summarizes the correlation coefficients for all formulas.

#### Discussion

Cystatin C is produced by all nucleated cells in the body and its free form is filtered by glomeruli and completely catabolized by proximal tubular cells (10). Therefore, its serum level reflects kidney function (14). The results of studies have shown cystatin Cto as a sensitive and specific marker of renal function among adults and children(6, 15, 16). To the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated the reference values for cystatin C in neonates, particularly preterm newborns (2, 3), and to date, cystatin C-based formulas have not been used to estimate GFR.

Cystatin C as a GFR marker in neonates has some advantages (17, 18), including its

independence from gestational age, gender,

muscle mass, nutrition, hydration status, and

maternal serum cystatin C level (15, 19, 20).

Furthermore, it does not pass through the

placenta, and there is no interference of

laboratory values with serum cystatin, bilirubin,

hemoglobin, and ketone (1, 8). On the other hand,

there are some limitations in using cystatin C as a neonatal GFR marker. For instance, it is an

expensive test, it is still unclear how immature

kidneys handle it, and few studies have compared

GFR estimated with cystatin C against gold standard tests. Giovanni et al. measured inulin

clearance in 20 preterm neonates and found a

significant relationship between reciprocal

cystatin C and inulin clearance (3). Moreover, the

findings of some studies have shown that thyroid

The results of some previous studies reported the range of serum cystatin C values among

| Study Sample size |     | Serum cystatin C<br>Mean | Serum cystatin C<br>Range | Neonate age       | Gestational age |  |
|-------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Ibrahim (11)      | 90  | 1.20 mg/l                | 0.80-2.20 mg/l            | 5.6 hours         | Term            |  |
| Armangil (31)     | 108 | 1.8 mg/l                 | 1.1-2.3 mg/l              | First day of life | 32.5±2.6 week   |  |
| Armangil (31)     | 108 | 1.65 mg/l                | 1.0-2.1 mg/l              | Third day of life | 32.5±2.6 week   |  |
| Bokenkamp (32)    | 23  | 2.16                     | 1.64-2.59 mg/l            | 0-3 days          | Term            |  |
| Bokenkamp (32)    | 14  | 2.02                     | 1.52-2.40 mg/l            | 3-30 days         | Term            |  |
| Harmoinen (20)    | 58  | 1.88 mg/l                | 1.07-2.86 mg/l            | 0-7 days          | <37 week        |  |
| Harmoinen (20)    | 50  | 1.70 mg/l                | 1.24-2.32 mg/l            | 0-7 days          | >37 week        |  |
| Bahar (33)        | 14  | 1.49 mg/l                | 0.98-2.30 mg/l            | Third day of life | <37 week        |  |
| Bahar (33)        | 84  | 1.32 mg/l                | 0.78-2.40 mg/l            | Third day of life | ≥37 week        |  |
| Finney (19)       | 16  | 1.48 mg/l                | 0.65-3.37 mg/l            | First day of life | 24-28 week      |  |
| Finney (19)       | 14  | 1.65 mg/l                | 0.62-4.42 mg/l            | First day of life | 29-38 week      |  |
| Finney (19)       | 50  | 1.37 mg/l                | 0.81-2.32 mg/l            | 0-3 months        | Term            |  |
| Treiber (22)      | 75  | 1.97 mg/l                | 1.38-3.23 mg/l            | Umbilical cord    | 34-41 week      |  |
| Treiber (22)      | 75  | 1.93 mg/l                | 1.28-2.66 mg/l            | Third day of life | 34-41 week      |  |

Table 5. Reference values of cystatin C in neonates in different studies

healthy term and preterm neonates(22, 23). The reference ranges published to date are shown in Table 5. To the best of our knowledge, few studies have focused on GFR estimation with cystatin Cbased formulas in neonates. The difficulty in establishing a reliable GFR formula for neonates can be attributed to the very large dispersion in serum cystatin C concentrations found thus far(13). In the present study,14 different cystatin C-based formulas available for children and adults were used to estimate GFR. According to the results of the present research, most estimated GFR values with these formulas had a highly significant correlation in neonates. The main finding of our study, however, is the absence of any correlation between GFR based on the Schwartz formula and equations that use cystatin Clevel. If in this study, it was possible to measure GFR with a gold standard test, the researchers could search for significant correlations between measured GFR and cystatin C-based GFR. Due to the fact that serum creatinine reflects maternal values in the first week after birth, whereas cystatin Codes do not pass through the placenta, and consequently, is not affected by this confounding factor, cystatin C-based equations are likely to reflect neonatal GFR more accurately than the Schwartz equation (10, 11, 22-36). It is suggested to perform further studies with gold standard tests to determine the accuracy of cystatin C as a GFR marker in neonates.

According to the results of a study performed by Kandasamy et al., serum cystatin C was not significantly associated with neonatal birth weight; nevertheless, serum creatinine was associated with this parameter. However, it was reported that measuring GFR based on serum creatinine could delay the diagnosis of acute kidney damage among newborns with lower birth weights(6). According to El-Gammacy et al., acute kidney injury can be predicted by measuring cystatin C in infants on day 3 after birth (12).

### Conclusion

The results of this study showed significant correlations amongGFR values calculated with all cystatin C-based formulas. Since cystatin C levels are independent of non-renal factors, this marker may reflect the real GFR more accurately than serum creatinine. However, it is recommended that this marker be compared against gold standard techniques to determine whether it is a better marker to measure GFR.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to appreciate K. Shashok (AuthorAID in the Eastern Mediterranean) for improving the use of English in the manuscript.

### Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Bökenkamp A, Domanetzki M, Zinck R, Schumann G, Byrd D, Brodehl J. Cystatin C--a new marker of glomerular filtration rate in children independent of age and height. Pediatrics. 1998;101(5):875-81.
- Hoseini R, Otukesh H, Rahimzadeh N, Hoseini S. Glomerular function in neonates. Iran J Kidney Dis. 2012;6(3):166-72.
- Montini G, Cosmo L, Amici G, Mussap M, Zacchello G. Plasma cystatin C values and inulin clearances in premature neonates. Pediatr Nephrol. 2001;16(5): 463-5.
- 4. Filler G, Lepage N. Should the Schwartz formula for

estimation of GFR be replaced by cystatin C formula? Pediatr Nephrol. 2003;18(10):981-5.

- 5. Knight EL, Verhave JC, Spiegelman D, Hillege HL, De Zeeuw D, Curhan GC, et al. Factors influencing serum cystatin C levels other than renal function and the impact on renal function measurement. Kidney Int. 2004;65(4):1416-21.
- 6. Kandasamy Y, Rudd D, Smith R. The relationship between body weight, cystatin C and serum creatinine in neonates. J Neonatal Perinatal Med. 2017;10(4):419-23.
- 7. Filler G, Guerrero-Kanan R, Alvarez-Elias AC. Assessment of glomerular filtration rate in the neonate: is creatinine the best tool? Curr Opin Pediatr. 2016;28(2):173-9.
- 8. Cataldi L, Mussap M, Bertelli L, Ruzzante N, Pesce M, Plebani M, et al. Comparison between maternal and neonatal serum cystatin c levels in healthy pregnant women. Pediatr Res. 1998;44(3):445.
- Ylinen EA, Ala-Houhala M, Harmoinen AP, Knip M. Cystatin C as a marker for glomerular filtration rate in pediatric patients. Pediatr Nephrol. 1999; 13(6):506-9.
- 10. Liu C, Wen J, Xiang J, Ouyang X, Yang Y, Lu W, et al. Age- and sex-specific reference intervals for the serum cystatin C/creatinine ratio in healthy children (0-18 years old). J Int Med Res. 2019; 47(7):3151-9.
- 11. Ibrahim OR, Soladoye AO, Adedoyin TO, Mokuolu OA, Abdulkadir MB, Biliaminu SA. Determination of glomerular filtration rate using cystatin C in healthy Nigerian newborns. Alexandria J Med. 2019; 55(1):89-94.
- 12. El-Gammacy TM, Shinkar DM, Mohamed NR, Al-Halag AR. Serum cystatin C as an early predictor of acute kidney injury in preterm neonates with respiratory distress syndrome. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2018;78(5):352-7.
- 13. Garg P, Hidalgo G. Glomerular filtration rate estimation by serum creatinine or serum cystatin C in preterm (<31 Weeks) neonates. Indian Pediatr. 2017;54(6):508-9.
- 14. Nakashima T, Inoue H, Fujiyoshi J, Matsumoto N. Longitudinal analysis of serum cystatin C for estimating the glomerular filtration rate in preterm infants. PediatrNephrol. 2016;31(6):983-9.
- 15. Helin I, Axenram M, Grubb A. Serum cystatin C as a determinant of glomerular filtration rate in children. Clin Nephrol. 1998;49(4):221-5.
- 16. Khosravi N, Zadkarami M, Chobdar F, Hoseini R, Khalesi N, Panahi P, et al. The value of urinary cystatin C level to predict neonatal kidney injury. Curr Pharm Des. 2018;24(25):3002-4.
- 17. Elmas AT, Tabel Y, Elmas ÖN. Reference intervals of serum cystatin C for determining cystatin C-based glomerular filtration rates in preterm neonates. J MaternFetal Neonatal Med. 2013;26(15):1474-8.
- Bardallo Cruzado L, Perez Gonzalez E, Martinez Martos Z, Bermudo Guitarte C, Granero Asencio M, Luna Lagares S, et al. Serum cystatin C levels in preterm newborns in our setting: correlation with

serum creatinine and preterm pathologies. Nefrologia. 2015;35(3):296-303.

- 19. Finney H, Newman D, Thakkar H, Fell J, Price C. Reference ranges for plasma cystatin C and creatinine measurements in premature infants, neonates, and older children. Arch Dis Child. 2000;82(1):71-5.
- 20. Harmoinen A, Ylinen E, Ala-Houhala M, Janas M, Kaila M, Kouri T. Reference intervals for cystatin C in pre-and full-term infants and children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2000;15(1-2):105-8.
- 21. Bökenkamp A, Van Wijk JA, Lentze MJ, Stoffel-Wagner B. Effect of corticosteroid therapy on serum cystatin C and  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin concentrations. Clin Chem. 2002;48(7):1123-6.
- 22. Treiber M, Balon BP, Gorenjak M. A new serum cystatin C formula for estimating glomerular filtration rate in newborns. Pediatr Nephrol. 2015;30(8):1297-305.
- 23. Kim BB, Chung SH, Yoon HS, Hahn WH, Bae CW, Choi YS. Decreased cystatin C-estimated glomerular filtration rate is correlated with prolonged hospital stay in transient tachypnea of newborn infants. Pediatr Neonatol. 2016;57(3):195-200.
- 24. Le Bricon T, Thervet E, Froissart M, Benlakehal M, Bousquet B, Legendre C, et al. Plasma cystatin C is superior to 24-h creatinine clearance and plasma creatinine for estimation of glomerular filtration rate 3 months after kidney transplantation. Clin Chem. 2000;46(8 Pt 1):1206-7.
- 25. Hoek FJ, Kemperman FA, Krediet RT. A comparison between cystatin C, plasma creatinine and the Cockcroft and Gault formula for the estimation of glomerular filtration rate. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2003;18(10):2024-31.
- Larsson A, Malm J, Grubb A, Hansson LO. Calculation of glomerular filtration rate expressed in mL/min from plasma cystatin C values in mg/L. Scand J Clin Lab Invest. 2004;64(1):25-30.
- Rule AD, Bergstralh E, Slezak J, Bergert J, Larson T. Glomerular filtration rate estimated by cystatin C among different clinical presentations. Kidney Int. 2006;69(2):399-405.
- 28. Grubb A, Nyman U, Bjork J, Lindstrom V, Rippe B, Sterner G, et al. Simple cystatin C-based prediction equations for glomerular filtration rate compared with the modification of diet in renal disease prediction equation for adults and the Schwartz and the Counahan–Barratt prediction equations for children. Clin Chem. 2005;51(8):1420-31.
- 29. Bouvet Y, Bouissou F, Coulais Y, Séronie-Vivien S, Tafani M, Decramer S, et al. GFR is better estimated by considering both serum cystatin C and creatinine levels. Pediatr Nephrol. 2006;21(9):1299-306.
- Zappitelli M, Parvex P, Joseph L, Paradis G, Grey V, Lau S, et al. Derivation and validation of cystatin C– based prediction equations for GFR in children. Am J Kidney Dis. 2006;48(2):221-30.
- Armangil D, Yurdakök M, Canpolat FE, Korkmaz A, Yiğit Ş, Tekinalp G. Determination of reference values for plasma cystatin C and comparison with

creatinine in premature infants. PediatrNephrol. 2008;23(11):2081-3.

- Bökenkamp A, Domanetzki M, Zinck R, Schumann G, Brodehl J. Reference values for cystatin C serum concentrations in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 1998;12(2):125-9.
- 33. Bahar A, Yilmaz Y, Unver S, Gocmen I, Karademir F. Reference values of umbilical cord and third-day cystatin C levels for determining glomerular filtration rates in newborns. J Int Med Res. 2003;31(3):231-5.
- 34. Khalesi N, Choobdar FA, Khorasani M, Sarvi F, Haghighi Aski B, Khodadost M. Accuracy of oxygen saturation index in determining the severity of

respiratory failure among preterm infants with respiratory distress syndrome. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2019 Sep 18:1-6.

- 35. Khosravi N, Seirafianpour F, Mashaiekhi M, Safari S, Khalesi N, Otukesh H, Hoseini R. Importance of Urinary NGAL Relative to Serum Creatinine Level for Predicting Acute Neonatal Kidney Injury. Iranian Journal of Neonatology IJN. 2020;11(4):21-4.
- 36. Sobouti B, Otukesh H, Seirafianpour F, Nakhaie S, Rahimzadeh N, Sayyahfar S, Hoseini R. Post-Kidney Transplantation Epstein-Barr Virus Infection in Children: Case Series Study. Journal of Pediatrics Review. 2020 Jul 10;8(3):175-80.